

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov		
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 102458-40932 10/621,435 07/17/2003 Janet Codd 1728 **EXAMINER** 26345 09/12/2006 GIBBONS, DEL DEO, DOLAN, GRIFFINGER & VECCHIONE ROGERS, JAMES WILLIAM 1 RIVERFRONT PLAZA PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT NEWARK, NJ 07102-5497 1618

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/621,435	CODD ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	1618	
The MAILING DA	TE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATI WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be ave after SIX (6) MONTHS from th - If NO period for reply is specifi - Failure to reply within the set of	ER, FROM THE MAILING Datable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 e mailing date of this communication. ed above, the maximum statutory period variety extended period for reply will, by statute the later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) ☐ This action is FIN 3) ☐ Since this applica	ation is in condition for allowa	oril 2006. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the above (5) ☐ Claim(s) is (6) ☐ Claim(s) is (7) ☐ Claim(s)	s/are rejected.	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) file Applicant may not i Replacement draw	request that any objection to the ing sheet(s) including the correct	er. epted or b) objected to by the Editation of the Edita	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)		. 🗖		
	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 2-4,6-8,14-15 and 19-25 and drawn to a method for reducing pain in a patient, classified in class 514, subclass 579.
- II. Claims 10-13, 16-18,26-49 drawn to a pharmaceutical composition, classified in class 424, subclass 490.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case there are thousands of compounds capable of relieving pain in the broad genus of NSAIDs such as morphine and salicylates, all capable of reducing pain in a patient.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Election

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1) The percent range of compound released over time in claims 33,37 and 41.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a **single disclosed species** for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 33,37 and 41 are generic. **Note**: the elected species will name a specific percent released and the specific time required to release the compound.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,435 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James W. Rogers whose telephone number is (571) 272-7838. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER